

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/585,892	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GEBICKI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> NELSON C. BLAKELY III	<b>Art Unit</b> 1614

**All Participants:**

(1) Nelson C Blakely III (Examiner).

(2) Michele Cimbala (Attorney).

**Date of Interview:** 25 August 2010

**Status of Application:** EAOM /p RCE

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**    ☐ Yes    ☐ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

Claims discussed:  
57, 58, 70, 71, 79, 87, 90-93

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Nelson C Blakely III/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1614

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

On 08/23/2010, the Examiner contacted Attorney of Record Cimbala because claims 57, 58, 70, 71, 79 and 87 appeared to be allowable, and presented a suggestion to limit the claim language of instant claim 90. Also, in the voicemail message on 08/23/2010, the Examiner presented a suggestion to amend the language of the title and the abstract pursuant to the subject matter under examination. On 08/25/2010, Attorney Cimbala left a voicemail message setting forth potential concerns with the suggested claim language of claim 90. Due to time constraints, an agreement could not be reached. Accordingly, an Office Action on the merits is attached hereto.